EGEE – EGI Transition

What some NGIs need to do!!

Middleware Rollout Interoperation

EGEE present status

- PPS sites, participating in staged rollout with some services or node types.
- Early Adopters, production sites participating in staged-rollout with some services or node types.
- Pilot services.

Questions

- What are the foreseen changes, if any, going from a roc like structure to a NGI structure, in this task in particular?
- Since the staged rollout will be conducted inside the production infrastructure, with services properly tagged as beta, so as to distinguish and test those newer version, what issues, if any, do you foresee for the service you are responsible for, or others?
- From the operational point of view do you foresee any issues integrating beta services in your production site?

Short summary of feedback: Sites

- Dmitry Ozerov DESY-PPS:
 - Decommissioned PPS site, put some services in prod site
 - Site does not know the future in the EGI/NGI era, unclear if there is agreement.
- Eugenia Kovalenko RU-Moscow-KIAM-PPS:
 - Decommision both the PPS and prod sites by the end of EGEE III, no continuation.
- Angela Poschlad FZK-LCG2:
 - Expects no major change from EGEE to EGI
 - PPS used for pilot services, and as staged rollout before deployment prod.
 - The staged rollout is inherent part of the future setup at KIT/GridKa.
- Michel Jouvin GRIF:
 - Long mail/feedback, but overall they expects no major change from EGEE to EGI.
 - Strong support for the Early Adopter procedure in production, i.e., they upgrade the production service itself.
 - Many more comments which should probably discussed next Thursday meeting.

Short summary of feedback: ROC's

- Tiziana Ferrari IT:
 - Don't expect major changes related to the transition from ROC to NGI.
 - Some worry that Early Adopters are in general small sites.
 - Incentives to involve larger sites.
 - Many more comments which should probably discussed next Thursday meeting.
- Angela Poschlad DECH:
 - The staged rollout has to be strengthened within the region and more sites should participate in it.
 - The aim for NGI-DE is to have (at least) one site for each service supporting the staged rollout.
 - We are concentrating to have sites volunteer for this and raise a general interest for this activity.

Small sample shows

- PPS sites will be decommissioned with no continuation into EGI.
- PPS sites or Early service Adopters will make the transition smoothly, no changes, no dependency from ROC or NGI decision:
 - We can have a compromise from these ones for EGI era.
- PPS sites or Early service Adopters which are expecting some compromise to be done at the level of the NGI:
 - Are the NGI's going to assume some compromise in the name of some of their sites?
 - Or are they going to be more loose, and let sites decide what level of compromise they want to have for this activity.
- The "How is it going to be done" (operational point of view) is next Thursday, though already nice feedback from Michel Jouvin and Tiziana.
- I see in the EGI-InSPIRE proposal the following:
 - TSA1.3: Service Deployment Validation: Requested Effort: 4PMs UPT, 4PMs IIP NAS, 24PMs IPP-BAS, 4PMs SWITCH, 4PMs UCY, 28PMs KIT, 12PMs UCPH, 76PMs CSIC, 8PMs CSC, 32PMs CNRS, 4PMs GRENA, 24PMs GRNET, 4PMs SRCE, 16PMs TCD, 4PMs IUCC, 12PMs INFN, 4PMs IMUL, 4PMs RENAM, 8PMs UoM, 4PMs UKIM, 16PMs NCF, 12PMs CYFRONET, 20PMs LIP, 8PMs IPB, 8PMs e-ARENA, 36PMs VR-SNIC, 20PMs ARNES, 8PMs UI SAV, 12PMs TUBITAK
 6 ULAKBIM, 48PMs STFC, 8PMs UBOL ETF

Next steps

- Questionnaire to all the sites (yet another one) possibly similar to the simple one I made.
 - Probably sites that already gave feedback will not need to do so again.
- Try to involve the NGI's, what they plan to do and what level of involvement.