

## The EGI Software Vulnerability Group Software Vulnerability Handling in EGI

Linda Cornwall, RAL/STFC



- What is a software vulnerability?
- Purpose of SVG
- How we handle vulnerabilities
- Something on our experience
- Evolving software vulnerability handling to changing technology

# What is a software vulnerability?

- A weakness allowing a principal (e.g. a user) to gain access to or influence a system beyond the intended rights
  - Unauthorized user can gain access
  - Authorized user can
    - gain unintended privileges – e.g. root or admin
    - damage a system
    - gain unintended access to data or information
    - delete or change another user's data
    - impersonate another user

“To eliminate existing vulnerabilities from the deployed infrastructure, primarily from the grid middleware, prevent the introduction of new ones and prevent security incidents”

- Handling vulnerabilities found/reported
  - Main activity of SVG
- Assessing software for vulnerabilities
  - Formally and informally
- Preventing new vulnerabilities being introduced
  - Developer education, awareness
  - Considering new software to be used in the infrastructure

- The issue handling is largely designed to handle vulnerabilities in Grid Middleware
  - Where vulnerabilities are found in the software by the reporter
  - And EGI has a close relationship with the software providers
  - EGI SVG is main handler of vulnerabilities in this S/W
- Other software vulnerabilities are also handled – if the software is deployed in the EGI
  - Typically provider announces fix (e.g. Linux)
  - But occasionally a vulnerability ‘discovered’ by reporter reported to us

- This is carried out by the SVG Risk Assessment Team (RAT)
  - RAT members include middleware experts, security software experts, and EGI Incident Response Task Force (IRTF) members
  - The RAT has access to information on vulnerabilities reported
- Anyone may report an issue
  - By e-mail to [report-vulnerability@egi.eu](mailto:report-vulnerability@egi.eu)

- If issue is ‘discovered’ by the reporter, it is investigated by a collaboration between the RAT, reporter and developers
- Alternatively, if it is announced, it’s relevance to EGI and affect on is considered



- If the Issue is valid or relevant to EGI, the RAT carries out a risk assessment
- Issue placed in one of 4 risk categories  
Critical, High, Moderate or Low
- Risk assessment carried out by the RAT because
  - mitigating or aggravating factors may exist in the Grid environment
  - Usually by consensus – vote in principle but usually agree the risk category

- If developers need to produce a fix, Target Date for resolution set according to the Risk
  - Critical - 3 days, High - 6 weeks, Moderate – 4 months, Low - 1 year
- Aim to reach this point within 4 working days
  - Within 1 day for critical issues
- This allows the prioritization of the timely resolution of issues according to their severity

- It is then up to the developers and release team to try and fix the problem by the Target Date or earlier
  - SVG will provide help and advice if appropriate
  - Advisory issued when patch is available or on Target Date – whichever the sooner
    - Advisory refers to release notes, release notes refer to advisory
  - This is known as responsible disclosure
  - EGI doesn't issue advisories vulnerabilities announced by the provider unless it's Critical or High.

- CSIRT monitors 'Critical' and 'High' risk vulnerabilities in the Grid Infrastructure
- For 'Critical' vulnerabilities, sites **MUST** update within 7 days
  - CSIRT issues tickets for sites which are vulnerable
  - Non-response may lead to site suspension

- A lot of vulnerabilities due to basic errors
  - File permissions
  - Not sanitizing input
- New vulnerabilities appear in well established software
  - E.g. when new functionality is added
- Vulnerabilities in software which is not well supported have been problematic

- For Grid Middleware (EMI/IGE) SLA between EGI and project, vulnerability handling by EGI SVG – **OK, fine**
- For Linux (e.g. RedHat), carry out their own handling, and EGI just has to decide risk in our environment. – **OK, fine**
- For some software deployed, difficult to get response/fix from company when security problems found **\*\*NOT OK\*\***

- EGI SVG will need to replace ‘Grid Middleware’ with ‘Middleware associated with the sharing of Distributed Resources’
- At present, this means including the EGI federated cloud
- Possibly more commercial software, and other software where we don’t (yet) have a direct relationship with providers
  - Providers announce a vulnerability when it is fixed
  - We will be considering the Risk to our infrastructure rather than investigating the vulnerability and arranging for the developers to fix it

- To handle vulnerabilities found relevant to the EGI federated cloud the RAT will need to expand to include:
  - Cloud Technology experts
  - Cloud Federation developers
  - Cloud security people



- **DO NOT**
  - Discuss on a mailing list – especially one with an open subscription policy or which is archived publically
  - Post information on a web page
  - Publicise in any way without agreement of SVG
- **DO** report to SVG via **report-vulnerability@egi.eu**

- If the software you use is NOT under security support – it's difficult to get vulnerabilities fixed if any are found
- We may have to say it cannot be used on the EGI infrastructure if it provides a security threat
- You can't assume even well established software won't have vulnerabilities

- If you are a cloud technology expert, cloud programmer, cloud security person, and think you could contribute to vulnerability handling consider volunteering for the RAT

- ??