



## Security Policy Group (SCG)

---

<b>Meeting:</b>	Phone call
<b>Date and Time:</b>	Fri 10th December 2010
<b>Venue:</b>	
<b>Agenda:</b>	<a href="https://www.egi.eu/indico/event/233">https://www.egi.eu/indico/event/233</a>

---

<b><u>PARTICIPANTS</u></b>	<b><u>2</u></b>
<b><u>AGENDA BASHING</u></b>	<b><u>3</u></b>
<b><u>ITEMS OF BUSINESS</u></b>	<b><u>3</u></b>
INTRODUCING EGI.EU TECHNICAL MANAGER	3
REPORTS	3
UPCOMING CHALLENGES FOR SCG	3
FEDERATED IDENTITY	4
USER FORUM	4
MEETING FREQUENCY	4
AOB	4
<b><u>ACTIONS</u></b>	<b><u>4</u></b>
<b><u>DATE FOR NEXT MEETING</u></b>	<b><u>4</u></b>



## Participants

Name and Surname	Abbr.	Representing
Steven Newhouse	SN	EGI.eu Director and CTO
Michel Drescher	MD	EGI.eu Technical Manager
Sergio Andreozzi	SA	EGI.eu Policy Development Manager
Mingchao Ma	MM	EGI.eu CSIRT Chair
David Kelsey	DK	EGI.eu SPG Chair
Linda Cornwall	LC	EGI.eu SVG Chair
David Groep*	DG	EGI.eu Representative in EUGridPMA
Sven Gabriel*	SG	

\* joined later during the call



## AGENDA BASHING

No changes

## ITEMS OF BUSINESS

### Introducing EGI.eu Technical Manager

SN introduced Michel Drescher to SCG as EGI.eu Technical Manager and EGI-InSPIRE SA2 leader; checked that Michel is part of SPG mailing list

### Reports

LC reported that in between the area of vulnerability handling and incident response there is the need a for a site suspension policy; in terms of procedure, a draft is available; in terms of policy, this needs to be addressed by SPG; DK stated that what is available at the moment should be sufficient, if not, more investigation is needed to understand the motivation

SN observed that EGI should not miss the authority to suspend sites at least for security issues; suspending sites not reaching SLAs is another topic which is needed to be further investigate; this falls in the area of OMB

- procedure to suspend a site is owned by OMB and to be put on the relevant policy boards (UCB/TCB/OMB); *a procedure is written to implement a policy*
- policy owned by the EGI.eu EB and Council; *a policy gives the right to work on procedures*

*DG and SG joined the meeting*

DK reported on the organization of the SPG F2F in January 2011

### Upcoming challenges for SCG

Policy related to running VMs: SPG had some discussions, more progress by the SPG F2F meeting; SN reported that during the GDB last Wednesday, Tony Cass provided an update on work performed by a HEPIX WG; DK added that they are working on how to make a VM run as a job in a WN and asked more about foreseen use cases; SN envisioned the need for deploying VMs as edge services; it should be investigated what is needed to do from trust and security perspectives to distribute VMs (e.g., via CREAM) to the sites; policies which are needed to enable remote users to start/stop/manage those VMs need to be defined; DK will put this item as agenda for next SPG F2F (**action 01/01**)

DK asked about what kind of users should be able to manage the VMs; SN answered that trusted individuals, kind of super-user (not regular ones) should be able to do; they should be able to build/deploy/manage; motivation is to bring decision of what software should be deployed closer to the VOs;



SN reported that the humanities VRC need to run software on VMs (DARIAH, CLAREN from ESFRI); at the moment EGI cannot provide this functionality; DK wondered if humanities will not use any EGI middleware stack; SN answered that it may be in 4/5 years time, the EGI software will be more focused on letting super-users to deploy VMs; DK observed that this is a very interesting policy topic, nevertheless it will require some time to address it; SN remarked that the SPG F2F in January should produce a policy roadmap in this direction

### Federated Identity

Identify federation through SAML-based protocol is becoming a big issue for the ESFRI projects; DG talked to Christoph Witzig about STS (Security Token Service); 18 months away to have in place; meanwhile short credential service; DK reported that policy aspects should be covered by EUGridPMA and asked SN if he agrees with this vision or if SPG should take the lead; SN agreed remarking that the importance is that somebody covers it

### User Forum

Discussion around attendance and poster. LC said that she would like to inform users on the activity by security policy bodies, either as poster or plenary; SN confirmed that it is useful to users the responsibilities of the various groups; other areas could be explored for security training, e.g., concerning the TERENA Certificate Service; SN observed that the UCST could investigate within the community what the security requirements are; **(Action 01/02)**

### Meeting Frequency

SN proposed to hold a meeting every month during the first week

### AOB

None

### Actions

ID	Resp.	Description	Status <sup>1</sup>
01/01	DK	Add in the SPG F2F agenda an item related to policy for VMs	NEW
01/02	LC	To prepare a poster for the User Forum explaining the responsibilities fo the various security policy groups within EGI	NEW

### Date for Next Meeting

Not defined

<sup>1</sup> NEW, OPEN, CLOSED, REJECTED



Minutes prepared by Sergio Andreozzi, 17.01.2011

Minutes Approved SCG Chair – Steven Newhouse

---

#### COPYRIGHT NOTICE

Copyright © EGI.eu. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

The work must be attributed by attaching the following reference to the copied elements: "Copyright © EGI.eu (www.egi.eu). Using this document in a way and/or for purposes not foreseen in the license, requires the prior written permission of the copyright holders. The information contained in this document represents the views of the copyright holders as of the date such views are published.