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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Name and Surname | Abbr. | Organisation |
| Stephen Brewer | SB | EGI.eu |
| Gergely Sipos | GS | EGI.eu |
| Nuno Ferreira | NF | EGI.eu |
| Richard Mclennan | RM | EGI.eu |
| Karolis Eigelis | KE | EGI.eu |
| Marios Chatziangelou | MC | IASA |
| Ignacio Blanquer | IB | UPV |
| Claire Devereux | CD | STFC |
| David Acre | DA | UPV |

# AGENDA BASHING

No comments to agenda

# MAIN POINTS OF DISCUSSIONS, LIST OF ACTIONS

## Status update on NA3 (SB)

* 1. Attendees agreed that the Web site should represent the “global offering of EGI” to users and communities, not just EGI-InSPIRE offerings
     1. E.g. offers for infrastructure services
  2. Annual report:
     1. Material from tool providers is enough. The missing parts will be written up by SB and GS. First draft to be circulated before the end of the week.

## Training Services (CD)

* 1. Replicating UEDIN services by STFC, then improving it within a framework based upon Fedora and Drupal:
     + Documenting the process (the essence)
     + Make sure that usage statistics about the UEDIN services are captured
       - To know what are the most-used, what are the less-used services and features
     + The development process will follow that of the one used for the UK NGS site whereby a range of stakeholders where involved
     + Customised views for NGIs, communities: same approach should be followed that the AppDB is using
  2. Participants agreed on the vision of “integrated suite of user services”. This logical model should be described, then mapped to the different services, technologies and providers (UCST, NGIs, institutes, community, ...).

## Application Database (MC)

* 1. VOs are linked to applications
     1. Investigate how this service relates to the VO listing feature of Operations Portal
        + A single, integrated solution should be offered for users
        + Avoid duplication of work, competition of EGI tools
  2. Consolidation of services for users: Collect what tools users should use to
     1. Monitor infrastructures, sites, tasks (by Ibergrid team)
     2. Obtain information about VOs and applications (by AppDB team)

Identify duplication, encourage collaboration of tools with focus on different features

* 1. Investigate how to keep registrations in AppDB up to date. First ideas:
     1. Entering application from top-BDII
     2. Crawling engine
     3. Software Discovery Engine (HellasGrid)
     4. Pakiti service

To be done in the context of 2.2

* 1. Produce a short information packet about the “Gadget” features of the AppDB and circulate it to every team in EGI that develop portals (computing portals, monitoring portals, ...). The same approach should be used by them to “repackage” the features of their portals and make them accessible for communities. Create an “EGI Gadget repository” from these gadgets.
  2. New developer profiles should be created in SSO, so these people would become members of the EGI community (SSO account holders are subscribed e.g. to the announcement email list)
  3. Do not develop solution for the automated transfer of applications between different AppDBs.
  4. UCST to work on solutions that would help keeping the content up to date, and/or informing the community where this content is not up to date. This includes maintaining and promoting consistency across applications for selection lists of information such as disciplines andcommunites.

## VO services (IB)

* 1. What are the route of tickets within GGUS to reach the VO services SU; the UCST SU; the NGI UST SU? There was a concern that these are not reaching their destination. Confirm.
  2. Accounting portal: Ignacio to discuss the possibilities of aggregated views of VOs based on tagging with the portal developers, then inform NA3-leaders.
  3. Focus of the work is to finalise the Nagios box. UCST can help with improving the visibility of the work of the VO support services.

## NGI survey (GS)

* 1. The early finding of the “NGI User Support Teams survey” have been summarised. Specific requests for features in the technical tools have not been communicated. There are several other topics that NGIs mentioned (e.g. MPI, LB WS interface, etc), these will be followed up on by UCST.

## User Forum, summary of actions and next steps

* 1. UF11 Workshop will feature demos and hands on creation of personalised gateway.