





# **Operations Management Board (OMB)**

Meeting:	Operations Management Board
Date and Time:	15 February 2011
Venue:	Amsterdam, Netherlands
Agenda:	https://www.egi.eu/indico/conferenceDisplay.py?confId=266

PARTICIPANTS	2
AGENDA BASHING	2
ACTION REVIEWS	2
EMI RELEASE AND SUPPORT SCHEDULE	4
EMI 1.0 REQUIREMENTS	4
SURVEY	5
REQUESTED OS PLATFORMS	5
GLITE-CLUSTER	5







## **Participants**

Name and Surname	Abbr.	Organisation	Membership <sup>1</sup>
Tiziana Ferrari		EGI.eu	Member
Luciano Gaido		INFN, IGI	Member
Andres Aeschlimann		Switch, NGI_CH	member
Torsten Antoni		KIT, NGI_DE	member, TSA1.7, JRA1
Luuk Uljee		SARA NGI_NL	Member, TSA1.7
Ron Trompert		SARA, NGI_NL	Member and TSA1.7
Vladimir Slavnic		IPB NGI_AEGIS SERBIA, NGI_RS	Member
Tore Mauset		UNINETT Sigma, NGI_NO	Member
Michaela Lechner		KTH	Member, TSA1.3
John Gordon		STFC UK, NGI_UK	Member and TSA1.5
Jinny Chien		ASGC, ROC AP	Member
Peter Solagna		EGI.eu	Member (notes)
Mario David		LIP, Ibergrid	Member, TSA1.3
Vera Hansper		CSC/NDGF, NGI_NDGF	Member, TSA1.8
Marcin Radecki		ACC CYFRONET, NGI_PL	Member, TSA1.7 COD
Malgorzata Krakowian		ACC CYFRONET	TSA1.7 COD
Mingchao Ma		STFC	TSA1.2
Gilles Mathieu		CCIN2P3, NGI_FR	Deputy Member
Miroslav Dobrucky		UI SAV, NGI_SK	Deputy Member
Emrah AKKOYUN		TUBITAK, NGI_TR	Observer
Foued Jrad		KIT-G	Member
Vladimir Slavnic		IPB, NGI_RS	Deputy Member
Feyza Eryol		TUBITAK, NGI_TR	Member
Serge A. Salamanka		UIIP NASB, NGI_BY	Member
Alex Stanciu		ICI, NGI_RO	Member

Notes: Some attendees were joining the meeting via the EVO phone bridge.

# **AGENDA BASHING**

### **ACTION REVIEWS**

	Action Owner	Content	Status
3			
07.01	All NGIs	To provide QR3 reports and metrics	IN PROGRESS

<sup>1</sup> Member, Observer, in Attendance







# e-infrastructure

08.01	M. David, K. Koumantaros	To clarify how/if the gLite 3.2 and EMI 1.0 software release cycles in EGI differ and the related implications	NEW
08.02	T. Ferrari	To identify list of gLite 3.1 components not part of gLite 3.2 and clarify the related EMI support plan. $\rightarrow$ Action closed. See update in the minutes	CLOSED
08.03	T.Ferrari	To file approved EMI 1.0 requirements on RT (requirements	NEW
	P.Solagna	queue)	
		Actions from Jan 2011 OMB meeting	
07.02	M. David	To appoint partners contributing to staged rollout of ARC Nagios probes	IN
		(https://rt.egi.eu/rt/Ticket/Display.html?id=1116)	PROGRESS
07.03	T. Antoni	To check the status of the UNICORE sites to be integrated (if these are part of DEISA or not). POLAND: the site to be integrated is NOT part of DEISA. $\rightarrow$ GERMANY: part of the UNICORE sites that will be integrated in NGI-DE will be also part of DEISA.	CLOSED
07/05	E. Imamagic	To discuss the deployment of WMS and BDII services for monitoring of uncertified sites with TSA1.8 leader (https://rt.egi.eu/rt/Ticket/Display.html?id=1213)	IN PROGRESS
07/06	E. Imamagic	To check if uncertified nodes are visible in the MyEGI portal (https://rt.egi.eu/rt/Ticket/Display.html?id=1214)	IN PROGRESS
07/07	T. Ferrari	To circulate a new site OLA document with updated terminology (to keep it consistent with the Architecture Document. (https://rt.egi.eu/rt/Ticket/Display.html?id=1215)	IN PROGRESS
07/10	COD	To refine the Operations Centre decommissioning procedure to remove the respective DTEAM group as a step of the process (https://rt.egi.eu/rt/Ticket/Display.html?id=1216) $\rightarrow$ The procedure already includes steps for the removal of members of the DTEAM group	CLOSED
07/11	M. Dreshner	To provide information on which components from EMI 1.0 need to be reinstalled, and which can be updated in place. $\rightarrow$ waiting input from EMI on this. This is still unknown to EMI. It will become clearer after code freeze.	IN PROGRESS
07/12	NGIs	To report issues with the EMI release plan and in particular with the changes introduced.	IN PROGRESS
07/13	T. Ferrari	to present transition plans to EMI 1.0 as decided by the EGI Technology Collaboration Board, as soon as ready $\rightarrow$ waiting from input from TCB	IN PROGRESS
07/14	L. Cornwall	To update the procedure according to OMB discussion.	IN
07/14	E. Contwall		PROGRESS
		Actions from Dec 2010 OMB meeting	
Action 6.11	TF and Mario	TF and M. David will check the list of partners currently involved in staged rollout and the	IN
	D.	list of partners formally committing to Staged Rollout according to the Consortium Agreement.	PROGRESS
Action 6.12	TSA1.3	to review the current list of non-assigned components and volunteer to the staged rollout of	IN
		those that are of interest to them (check table at <u>https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/Components</u> ) $\rightarrow$	PROGRESS
		reassigned to Mario David → working on candidates for LFC gLite 3.1	
Action 6.13	All NGIs	To check the draft site certification manual (https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/SiteCertMan) and provide their input.	In Progress
	I	Actions from Oct 2010 OMB meeting	1
Action 3.	TF	to update as necessary the procedure to retire middleware components ( <u>https://edms.cern.ch/document/985325</u> ). <u>https://rt.egi.eu/rt/Ticket/Display.html?id=347</u>	Open
N	from provious m	eetings are closed.	l







### EMI Release and support schedule

TF presents a set of slides that summarize information about types of EMI releases and that provide information on how releases will be supported. For gLite 3.2 and ARC 0.8 the end of support is scheduled in Oct 2011, while security support will be extended for 6 months until April 2012. This means the end of standard maintenance of gLite 3.2 is close. Maintenance and support includes patches for bugs and security fixes, while new functionality that will be available from EMI 1.0 will not back ported to gLite 3.2. If there's a need for this, an exception to the general policy needs to be negotiated. This means that gLite 3.1 production instances that will reach end-of-support soon, will need to be migrated and EMI 1.0 could be a better option than an upgrade to gLite 3.2 in terms of support.

J. Gordon: availability of sl6 support in EMI 1.0 will be a driver to solicit upgrade.

Discussion on how the support of gLite 3.2 in EGI will be managed. M. David: gLite 3.2 will undergo staged rollout as other EMI 1.0 components until supported.

# ACTION (M. David, K. Koumataros): to clarify how/if the gLite 3.2 and EMI 1.0 software release cycles in EGI differ and the related implications.

M. David: will components from gLite 3.1 not available in gLite 3.2 be part of EMI 1.0? T. Ferrari: WMS is part of EMI 1.0

# ACTION (T. Ferrari): to identify list of gLite 3.1 components not part of gLite 3.2 and clarify the related EMI support plan.

ACTION UPDATE (T. Ferrari): components not available in gLite 3.2 are (<u>http://glite.cern.ch/support\_calendar/</u>) the following. "Y" means that the component is still supported in EMI 1.0. Support information is available from the EMI D1.3.1 <u>DNA1.3.1 – Technical Development Plan</u> document.

<u>glite-AMGA\_oracle</u> (N) and <u>glite-AMGA\_postgres</u> (AMGA client and server will be supported), <u>glite-CONDOR\_utils</u> (N), <u>glite-HYDRA\_mysql</u> (Y), <u>glite-PX</u> (myproxy - Y), <u>glite-SE\_dcache\_admin\_gdbm</u>, <u>glite-SE\_dcache\_admin\_postgres</u> (dCache web site needs to be checked), <u>glite-SLCS\_client</u> (Y), <u>glite-WMS</u> (Y), <u>lcg-CE</u> (N).

### **EMI 1.0 Requirements**

All requirements for EMI 1.0 are reviewed. Some of these will be addressed in EMI according to the EMI roadmaps. The requirements that have been identified to be part of this category will be passed to EMI as information, but won't be flagged as new requirement.

Priorities are assigned to all other requirements. Some requirements have been merged.







A revised version (v3.0) of the requirements list reflecting the changes above, is attached the OMB agenda.

ACTION (T.Ferrrari, P. Solagna). All these requirements will be filed in RT (requirements queue).

### **Survey**

13 NGIs replied to the survey. In this overview, the results about the requested OS platforms and the gLite-cluster components are presented.

### **Requested OS platforms**

The xls summary table is attached to the agenda. The most popular platforms are:

a. CentOS, and in descending order of priority Ubuntu and Debian.

b. Solaris for disk servers is felt to be important for large resource centres (Ibergrid, Switzerland).c. For clients: Win7,OSX for clients (requested by Sweden)

#### gLite-CLUSTER

67% of the NGIs have heterogeneous clusters of WNs in the farm;

61% of the NGIs experimented difficulties with publishing of installed capacity information;

38% of NGIs are willing to deploy gLite Cluster. More sites interested if available for CREAM and other compute implementations.

The OMB recommends Resource Centres to try the component with CREAM (manual configuration needed and instructions will be available). OMB recommends EMI to support this capability.

EMI 2.0 requirements will be reviewed in a follow-up OMB meeting (Doodle opened by P. Solagna) to be scheduled soon.

### AOB

All future OMB meetings will be anticipated to 10:00 AM (AMS time), according to the request received from J. Gordon.







#### **COPYRIGHT NOTICE**

Copyright © EGI.eu. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

The work must be attributed by attaching the following reference to the copied elements: "Copyright © EGI.eu (www.egi.eu). Using this document in a way and/or for purposes not foreseen in the license, requires the prior written permission of the copyright holders. The information contained in this document represents the views of the copyright holders as of the date such views are published.