

COD F2F meeting – 26.01.2011, Amsterdam
Attendees: VH, LU, RT, MK, MR

1. VH: problems with GOCDB user interface. Missing discussion on GOCDB roles.
RT: COD role people can do everything they need, just site suspension functionality is not there. Reported and **not** fixed so far.
Action: Report to TF in the afternoon we are missing discussion on GOCDB roles, there is missing functionality for particular roles, suggestion to have it on OMB?
2. Impact of Operations Architecture terminology (RIP, Resource Centre, etc.)
 - Was this document finalized?
 - impact on Documentation
 - Tools**Action: Clarify with TF if the D4.1 is finalized and what's its impact on Documentation and Tools (new terminology).**
3. Organization of CODOC sessions during User Forum in Vilnius
OMB is on Thursday, last day, last session.
Sessions are on Monday 11.04.2011:
 - 11.00-12.30 is Operational Documentation Meeting
 - 14.00-15.30 is ROD training
 - 25 minutes: beginners session on how to use the dashboard.
 - 20 minutes of the best practices for ROD, checking the daily availability (gridmap, gridview and myEgi), something on the operations support metrics
 - 25 minutes for questions.
 - 16.00-17.30 is Grid Oversight session
 - 25 mins slots
 - recent development in dashboard and plans (regionalization) metrics – interpretation of the metrics, evaluation of the 1st year
 - Gonzalo: IBERGRID experiences on monitoring and handling alarms for regional (hidden) sites, running regional instance of dashboard
 - anything else done for operations of in NGI for non-EGI sites – PL-Grid experiences?
 - consequences of the new probes, adding new services, adding new probes
 - VH: 15 minutes for operational documentation plans
 - RT: it's important to finalize agenda ASAP
Action on VH: to tell Sara Cohelo to organize teleconferencing facilities for all sessions. The best would be to have the same room (evo).
Action on RT: to ask people how many of them are going to come there from ROD teams and collect items from NOC managers and ROD teams what issues should be addressed
4. **COD and OLA**
Availability/Reliability metric. Currently COD chases sites. It should chase NGIs. The NGI should be responsible for followup action for low A/R sites. COD should be dealing with Operations Centres, not the sites. That's the only thing which scales.
What if NGI does not respond?
RT: the above model can work well: if good site is endangered with suspension because NGI is not working then the site will make a pressure on the NGI.
LU: what if we organize the meeting with COO, COD and failing NGI and they say they will improve or not.

What does it mean to suspend NGI?

RT: it means to suspend all their sites

Need for NGI certification status (GOCDB). NGI suspension procedure? Decertification of the NGI means that all the sites are suspended. Evaluate impact on tools must be evaluated (check the flag?).

Conditions for NGI decertification: NGI-EGI OLA.

RT: in general we should not care about **what** is tested. COD does not investigate what failed, important is the overall status of the test.

5. **New middlewares in EGI and COD**

COD should keep an eye on the process of introducing new middlewares in EGI to make sure it does not make any troubles.

VH: Adding new tests into availability computation: all kind of service types (e.g. CEs) should go automatically in, currently it is CE, SE and sBDII. So if a site installs ARC-CE then it should be by definition added to availability computation.

ARC services are registered in existing gLite sites. All issues coming to site contact. Sites has to route to the right people.