




www.cnrs.fr



WP 5 / 6 discussion

Volker.Beckmann@IN2P3.FR

Scientific Director CNRS / IN2P3
« Computing and Data Science »



www.cnrs.fr

WP 5 / 6 questions

- 1) WP6 has identified fundamental services that are necessary to ensure higher-level services interoperability. How can we share this topic between our deliverables?
- 2) How will the services be described in the Service Catalogue? Is there room to describe (infrastructure related) interoperability aspects? Is this something that will be presented in the WP5 deliverable?
- 3) D5.1 page 24 cites the Principles of Engagement and the D5.3 "EOSC Federated Service Management Framework" that is due M18 (June 2018). It would be interesting for us to know what will be in this deliverable concerning service interoperability. We think that potential interoperability functionalities (at functional and technical levels) should be presented at least in the services catalogue, in terms of use of each service and in the Principles of Engagement (PoE).
- 4) traceability is important and without interoperability it can be broken. Is this point taken into account in the mediators' design? How could we contribute?



www.cnrs.fr

Discussion points

- Meaning of e-infra interoperability; T6.1 approach: transparent for the user
- 6.1 architecture should link to existing strategies / solutions
- T6.2 working especially on requirements concerning data interoperability – this depth of work is not possible for all aspects
- Leonardo: take e.g. T6.2 solutions and look what this means on the (service) architecture level
- Don't repeat previous work (e.g. AAI)
- How to exchange information between services and catalogues
- What are the minimum requirements → e-infracentral
- Architecture defines what, not how, but should give some guidelines
- Leonardo: focus on services, not on e-infras; one can only discuss interoperability per service
- Focus on not-so-far addressed problems: data catalogues, service catalogues, ...



www.cnrs.fr

Discussion points

- Do we re-pack services (including business models, ...), or do we re-distribute existing packaged services
- Leonardo: identify needed services (many more than the ones in the roadmap); not only user perspective, but also management perspective

Actions

- D6.1 to send list of fundamental services to Leonardo for iteration/discussion
- Define interesting workflows for service/interoperability architecture, e.g. PanCancer, PiCo2, Prominence