

Report to TCB on EGI user requirements

This document has been prepared by the User Community Support Team for the EGI Technology Coordination Board to report on the status of the requirement topics that relate to UMD and have been flagged by the EGI User Community Board as “**Priority topics**”.

The document is a snapshot of the Wiki page that is publicly available at https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/Track_User_Support_Requirements#Status_at_UCB.

The snapshot has been taken at **17:35 on the 1st of April, 2011**. This document as well as the online Wiki page includes links to the requirement tickets. These provide technical evidences and details to the topics.

Name of the topic	Related requirement tickets	Status of tickets	Date of flagging the topic as priority by the UBC	Summary & Actions
1. Increased stability and scalability for gLite WMS	Increased stability and scalability for gLite WMS (VO: lsgrid) Increased stability and scalability for gLite WMS (VO: lofar) Increased stability and scalability for gLite WMS (VO: ilc) Increased stability and scalability for gLite WMS (VO: fusion) Increased stability and scalability for gLite WMS (VO: cdf) Demand for WMS, stability of CREAMCE, gLite reliability at EMI (VO: hone) WMS stability and performance (VO: calice)	Waiting for technical details from submitters. Deadline to answer: 21/04/2011 Full details received for 1 ticket: #704 from VO hone	30 Nov 2010	Jan 2011: UCST asked ticket submitters to provide technical details about the circumstances under which the scalability and stability problems were experienced. Feb 2011: UCST invited the providers of reusable tools to comment on the requirements. Neither negative, nor positive evidences emerged. 1st of April 2011: UCST sent 2nd reminder to ticket submitters to provide technical details.
2. Better (more verbose and informative) error messages	Better (more verbose and informative) error messages (Community: Life Sciences Grid Community) Better (more verbose and informative) error messages (VO: vlemed) Better (more verbose and informative) error messages (VO: lsgrid) Better (more verbose and informative)	Waiting for technical details from submitters. Deadline to answer: 21/04/2011 Partial details received for 2	30 Nov 2010	Jan 2011: UCST asked ticket submitters to provide technical details about the circumstances under which the scalability and stability problems were experienced. Feb 2011: UCST invited the providers of reusable tools to comment on the requirements.

	error messages (VO: lofar) Better (more verbose and informative) error messages (VO: ilc) Improve grid interface (NGI: NGI_IL)	tickets: #712 from NGI_IL #1147 from Life Sciences Grid Community		<p>Neither negative, nor positive evidences emerged.</p> <p>1st of April 2011: UCST sent 2nd reminder to ticket submitters to provide technical details.</p>
3. Fixing the known bugs before adding new features	Demand on LCG-CE, stability and proper development (VO: desktopgrid.vo.edges-grid.eu)	<p>Waiting for technical details from ticket submitters. Deadline to answer: 21/04/2011</p>	30 Nov 2010	<p>Jan 2011: UCST asked ticket submitters to provide technical details about the circumstances under which the scalability and stability problems were experienced.</p> <p>Feb 2011: UCST invited the providers of reusable tools to comment on the requirements. Neither negative, nor positive evidences emerged.</p> <p>1st of April 2011: UCST sent 2nd reminder to ticket submitters to provide technical details.</p>
4. Coherency of command line commands, parameters and APIs	Coherency of command line commands, parameters and APIs (Community: Life Sciences Grid Community) Coherency of command line commands, parameters and APIs (VO: vlemed) Proper gLite services support and improvements and development at EM (VO: pheno)	<p>Waiting for technical details from ticket submitters. Deadline to answer: 21/04/2011</p> <p>Partial details received for 1 ticket: #1148 from Life Sciences Grid Community</p>	30 Nov 2010	<p>Jan 2011: UCST asked ticket submitters to provide technical details about the circumstances under which the scalability and stability problems were experienced.</p> <p>Feb 2011: UCST invited the providers of reusable tools to comment on the requirements. Neither negative, nor positive evidences emerged.</p> <p>1st of April 2011: UCST sent 2nd reminder to ticket submitters to provide technical details.</p>
5. Better feedback about jobs, automated resubmission of jobs that are stuck on sites	Better feedback about jobs, automated resubmission of jobs that are stuck on sites (VO: lofar) Better feedback about jobs, automated resubmission of jobs that are stuck on sites (VO: fusion) Better feedback about jobs, automated resubmission of jobs that are stuck on sites (VO: cdf) Quality of service (Project: HealthGrid)	<p>Waiting for technical details from ticket submitters. Deadline to answer: 21/04/2011</p> <p>Partial details received for 1 ticket: #657 from HealthGrid</p>	30 Nov 2010	<p>Jan 2011: UCST asked ticket submitters to provide technical details about the circumstances under which the scalability and stability problems were experienced.</p> <p>Feb 2011: UCST invited the providers of reusable tools to comment on the requirements. Neither negative, nor positive evidences emerged.</p> <p>1st of April 2011: UCST sent 2nd reminder to ticket submitters to provide technical details.</p>
	Resolved #665			
	Resolved #660			