



Experiences and Design of the New EGI Metrics Portal

lván Díaz Álvaro Simón Carlos Fernández

CESGA



20 September, 2011 EGI-InSPIRE RI-261323 EGI Technical Forum 2011



Outline

Introduction

Base Technologies Python Django

The Portal Functionality

Conclusions

20 September, 2011 EGI-InSPIRE RI-261323



- An important tool for managing the EGI project.
- Aggregates quality and health metrics from multiple and diverse sources.
- These measure both infrastructure status and the activity of affiliated staff and users.



Introduction Metric Sources

Metrics sources include:

- GOCDB
- Accounting Portal
- Top BDII
- GGUS
- ...?



- Automatic Metrics are derived automatically from a information source.
 - Number of solved tickets in a month.
 - Nearline disk storage on a NGI.
 - Number of jobs sent.
- Since automatic data can be incomplete or wrong, users must flag them as "verified" explicitly.
- If users know a metric to be wrong, they can also input a value manually.



- Manual Metrics are compiled manually by users due to their intrinsic nature or lack of source support.
 - Number of papers published by members of an NGI.
 - Number of users of a community or portal.
 - Number of regional instances of EGI operational tools.
- Manual metrics have normally a fixed numeric format, but comments can be added for more detail/clarifications.



• Based on legacy code from accounting portal:

- PHP based, non optimally modularized.
- Lack of expandability.
- Not geared to fast code changes.
- Code base still comparatively small (vs. Acct Portal).
- There was a perceived added complexity in the requirement capture and development of the following stages.
- The above qualities favored a change of framework and metodology.



Base Technologies Python

- Good for rapid prototyping.
- Functional and object oriented.
- Very flexible (list comprehensions, closures, first-order functions, reflection).
- "Batteries included".



- Connector scripts and other admin code already written in Python.
- Existing coding experience.
- Improved code maintenance compared with Perl or PHP.
- Reduced development effort and risks.



• A Python framework for web applications.

- Separates concerns like DB access, URL mapping, view templating...
- Based on the DRY (Don't Repeat Yourself) philoshopy.
- Extensive third-party and community support. Very detailed and extensive documentation.
- Suited for rapid prototyping.
- Support for model-based development and low-impact model changes and migration.



The motivation behind modular and OO development

- The access to data in the DB is separated from the undelying query:
 - Support for non-SQL DB backends.
 - Lazy access and caching.
- URLs are decoupled from the view and HTTP GET format.
- View data gathering is separated from template application.
- Makes easy the distribution of tasks between several servers and diferential scaling.



- DRY (Don't Repeat Yourself) states that neither data nor code should be repeated in the codebase.
- It is specially useful in web services (where repetition is common) and requires framework support.
- Altought present in some form in every high-level language, Django pursues total adherence.
- Related with separation on concerns.
- It oposses boiler-plate and generated code, in a sense ultimately promotes data as code.
- Example: Metrics forms created on-the-fly from the model.



Strong third party and community support with generic feature-laden extensions for:

- Authentication.
- Database and model migration.
- Historic records.
- Debugging.



New views only require:

- Database and model support for the data shown.
- A view function and a template.
- A generic regular-expression mapping between the view function and a URL.



Django also allows for rapid model changes. Using the South migration facility:

- The developer modifies, adds or removes a field from a model or adds a new model.
- A command invocation creates a South migration automatically with the differences.
- The migration is applied, and the underlying database is modified (most times).
- The new changes are useable in 1-2 minutes.
- There is coding support for more complicated migrations (e.g. data migrations).



The portal offers:

- A public query interface to graph various metrics data (like in the old portal).
- Secure forms to visualize and edit metrics data.
- Aggregated views for project quarter and NGI metrics.

Mix, European Grid Editi Infrastructure	EGI METRICS	PORTAL		//) CESG
bs				Logged in as: /DC=es/DC=irisgrid/O=cesga/CN=Ivan Last login: Sept. 14, 2011, 2:47 p.m. Log out
s/Countries	Matrice of	SA3 - Quarter 6 :		All quarters - History
zkets	Metric Name		Description	Value
ailability DI metrics	M.SA3.1	TSA3.2.1	Number of VOs deploying their own dashboard Instance/view	
tal slots tivity Metrics	M.SA3.2	TSA3.2.1	Number of users of deployed dashboard instances	
anny metrics	M.SA3.3	TSA3.2.2	Number of unique users of GANGA	
	M.SA3.4	TSA3.2.2	Number of unique users of DIANE	
	M.SA3.5	TSA3.2.2	Number of sites using GANGA	
	M.SA3.6	TSA3.2.2	Number of sites using DIANE	
	M.SA3.7	TSA3.2.3	Number of users of GReIC	
	M.SA3.8	TSA3.2.3	Number of users of Hydra	
	M.SA3.9	TSA3.2.4	Number of users of SOMA2	
	M.SA3.10	TSA3.2.4	Number of users using Taverna to access EGI resources	
	M.SA3.11	TSA3.2.4	Number of users using RAS	



- Only needed for metric input pages, the data pages are public.
- Due to the existence of several authentication methods in EGI, supports a chain of atuhentication options in this order:
 - EGI certificate authentication. Users with a valid EGI certificate are automatically authenticated without further interaction.
 - SSO LDAP authentication. It delegates authentication on SSO and does not store passwords locally.
 - Local DB authentication. Usually for admin users, others should be handled by the above cases.



- Manual metrics are entered exclusively by users without any computation.
- Nevertheless, the format of the data is checked.
- Data for all project quarters until the current can be visualized and edited (this policy can change in a future).

European Grid Infrastructure	EGI METRICS PORTAL			
Jobs				Logged in as: /DC*es/DC Last login: Sept. 14, 2011, Log out
RCs/Countries	Metrics of SA3 - Qu	arter 6 ·		All quarters - History
lickets	Metric Name	Task	Description	Value
ailability Di metrics	M.SA3.1	TSA3.2.1	Number of VOs deploying their own dashboard instance/view	
tal slots	M.SA3.2	TSA3.2.1	Number of users of deployed dashboard instances	
tivity Metrics	M.SA3.3	TSA3.2.2	Number of unique users of GANGA	
	M.SA3.4	TSA3.2.2	Number of unique users of DIANE	
	M.SA3.5	TSA3.2.2	Number of sites using GANGA	
	M.SA3.6	TSA3.2.2	Number of sites using DIANE	
	M.SA3.7	TSA3.2.3	Number of users of GReIC	
	M.SA3.8	TSA3.2.3	Number of users of Hydra	
	M.SA3.9	TSA3.2.4	Number of users of SOMA2	
	M.SA3.10	TSA3.2.4	Number of users using Taverna to access EGI resources	
	M.SA3.11	TSA3.2.4	Number of users using RAS	

20 September, 2011 EGI-InSPIRE RI-261323

EGI Technical Forum 2011



- Metrics associated to NGIs, traditionally filled by NGI staff each quarter.
- Also viewable and editable by quarter.

European Grid	EGI METRICS POR	TAL			/// CE
s Countries	Matrice of NA2	for AsiaPacific - Qu	enter C . All -	uarters - All NGIs - History	
ets	Metric Name	Task	Description All q	Value	
ability metrics	M.NA3.8	TNA3.4/3	Number of Applications in the AppDB	None	
slots	M.NA3.9	TNA3.4/3	Number of Trainers in the Trainers database	None	
ity Metrics	M.NA3.10	TNA3.4/3	Number of Training Days delivered through NGI Training events.	None	
	Comments				
	Edit Back to	task			
					(C) CESGA 2011



20 Septe

EGI-InSPIRE RI-261323

- These metrics are precalculated by the portal, which accesses the data sources and computes values for them.
- Automatic values can be verified by users or entered manually in case of data or human errors.
- Any modification in the values sets the "manual" flag.

	M.SA1.OperationalSecurity.4	TSA1.2	Number of suspended sites for security issues		12	
	M.SA1.Service.Validation.2	TSA1.3	Number of staged rollout releases undertaken & rejected		32	
	M.SA1.Size.1	TSA1.1	Total number of production resource centers that are part of EGI.	A	348 😈	
	M.SA1.Support.1	TSA1.7	Number of operational tickets created/solved per month	A	570-912 😈	
	M.SA1.Support.2	TSA1.7	Average & median ticket solution time (bussines days) per month	A	30.41-4.370 😈	
	M.SA1.Support.4	TSA1.7	Average ticket response time (bussines days) per month	A	7.024 🔽	
	M.SA1.Support.5	TSA1.7	Number of tickets solved by TPM (first line support)	A	0 😈	
	M.SA1.Support.6	TSA1.7	Ticket assignment time to Support Units by TPM (first line support)	A	0.2993 😈	
ember, 2011			EGI Technical Forum 201			



- Provides wiki-like access to old versions of metrics.
- Records the time, date and author of the modifications.
- Allows undo functionality by saving old versions.





Report Views

All Quarters View

- Shows all recorded quarters for common or NGI metrics of a task.
- All NGIs View
 - Shows all recorded NGI metrics for a task and quarter, grouped by NGI.
- These views do not show NGIs or Projects quarters not in the DB.



- The new Metrics Portal reduces development effort and cycles, and minimize development cycles by basing itself in a flexible and powerful framework and by externalizing common portal requirements when possible.
- In this way, the development effort is centered in adaptation and customization for the EGI project, and the removal of change inertia on the set of metrics of the project.
- The portal allows the introduction and modification of metrics with ease and transparency, even new tasks and their associated metrics could be created in a short time.



- Tasks like authentication or database retrieval are externalized to simplify the portal and avoid security issues.
- DRY and separation of concerns allow change resilience. Thus models, the name of tasks, URL format or the appearance could be changed with minimal effort.