

SSC4 Debriefing — Forensics

Tobias Dussa • EGI Technical Forum, September 17, Amsterdam

COMPUTER EMERGENCY RESPONSE TEAM



KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and National Laboratory of the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu



This talk addresses the following questions:

- Why forensic analysis?
- Where and how to gather evidence?
- How to analyze evidence data?

It does *not* address:

- How to contain damage?
- What to communicate when to whom?
- How to recover from an incident?

= > nan



To assess and answer several important questions about an incident:

- Where did the attacker come from?
- How was access gained?
- What damage was done?
- What other machines were affected?
- ... and many more related questions.

Data Sources for Forensic Investigations



Broad classes of data sources:

- 1. Highly volatile (e.g., CPU registers),
- 2. Volatile (e.g., RAM),
- 3. Static (e.g., hard drives), and
- 4. Highly static (e.g., archive tapes).
- More volatile evidence must be gathered and preserved first, if possible.
- Obviously, not all classes available or applicable in every instance.



Usually, this is the first thing to do.

- 1. Collect all relevant network-related data:
 - NAT data,
 - proxy data,
 - netflow data,
 - and so on.

No problem if there are log files, interesting if not (live NAT tables etc.).

2. Correlate data to find your suspect host, if any.

→□→→巨→のへで



... or at least a suspect machine. Now what to do?

- 1. Gather general information and evidence:
 - Running processes,
 - open network connections,
 - who is logged on,
 - who was logged on,
 - mounted devices
 - and their mountpoints,
 - etc.
- 2. Look if there is anything suspect.



What to do with your suspect (process):

- 1. Stop the process (do not terminate it!).
- 2. Collect and secure:
 - the binary being executed,
 - its core memory,
 - its shared memory regions, if any,
 - its file handles,
 - other volatile data.



Finally, collect less volatile stuff:

- If possible and sensible, power off the machine and grab the hard drive.
- If not possible or sensible, at the very least collect the following stuff:
 - All related log files,
 - any files involved in the incident,
 - actually, if possible, the entire file system.



Take a close look at the collected data. Some pointers:

- Inspect suspect executables (with strings, hexdump, gdb, rec, IDAPro, ...).
- Look at core dumps (using gdb).
- Grep through log files and the like.
- Check files' MD5 sums against the known-good list.
- Perform further filesystem analysis, for instance with autopsy or rkhunter.
- If necessary, iterate.

< ∃ > √) Q (~



- Known facts for Security Service Challenge 4:
 - IP addresses 192.108.46.248 and 195.140.243.2 are evil.
 (End of list!)
- Unknown: Everything else, particularly
 - Are the bad IPs involved with our systems?
 - If so, how?
 - And what happened, if anything?



- First step: Find out whether the IPs in question have shown up at our site.
- Sifting through the appropriate logs yields a machine connected with the suspect IPs (boring).
- Surprisingly, we have a winner!
- (Watch out for timestamp time zone!)



Culprit process was quickly identified (no stealth measures).

- Job was submitted via Panda.
- Panda logs show
 - what DN was used to submit the actual job and
 - what host the actual job was submitted from.
- Next step, obviously: Dump all the information we can get.



- Running the binary through strings reveals some fishy strings in the binary: JOIN, NICK, PONG, PRIVMSG, USER
- Disassembling yields information about:
 - Communication and
 - other activity.
- Inspecting the core dump gives actual ID strings used in communication.



After reverse-engineering, this was known:

- Binary was an IRC bot (communication endpoints and parameters known),
- (tried to) install
 - at job and
 - cron job

to become persistent, and

- (tried to) transfer /etc/passwd out to drop site, but
- no root exploit used and no root kit installed.

COMPLITER EMERGENCY RESPONSE TEAM

< ∃ > √) Q (~



Things to watch out for when doing forensics:

- Modifying evidence while collecting (e.g., file access times).
- Dropping volatile evidence (e.g., memory content).
- Failing to document actions properly (timestamps!).
- IMPORTANT: If the incident looks like it will involve legal action, stop everything you are doing and call the police!



Common sense and good practices:

- Prepare a checklist.
- Strictly separate evidence acquisition and evaluation.
- Gather evidence, then produce a working copy of the evidence locker, then work on the working copy only.
- Go out of your way to ensure you work in read-only mode whenever possible, even on the working copy.
- And, most importantly, if you are unsure what to do, talk to somebody who has a better chance of knowing (e.g., EGI CSIRT).





Any questions?



17 2010-09-17 Tobias Dussa: SSC4 Debriefing - Forensics

COMPUTER EMERGENCY RESPONSE TEAM





Thank you for your attention!

17 2010-09-17 Tobias Dussa: SSC4 Debriefing - Forensics

COMPUTER EMERGENCY RESPONSE TEAM