

## Participants:

Giacinto DONVITO (INFN)  
Alessandro COSTANTINI (INFN)  
Diego SCARDACI (EGI F.)  
Enrico VIANELLO (INFN)  
Marcus HARDT (KIT)  
Sara RAMEZANI (SurfSara)  
Marica ANTONACCI (INFN)  
Pablo ORVIZ (IFCA)  
Stefano NICOTRI (INFN)  
Enol FERNANDEZ (EGI F.)  
Cristina DUMA (INFN)  
Baptiste GRENIER (EGI F.)  
Daan Broeder (KNAW/HuC)

## Agenda and updates:

### **10' - Report from the review and corrective action to be discussed (if report ready) (Giacinto Donvito)**

Review produced feedback, document are uploaded to the agenda

WP10: activity carried out is critical for the success of the project

- latency for producing the deliverable on time, needs more attention in the future
- Last D10.4 related to the architecture: reviewers are asking for revision of some parts

**Action TLs and partners: revision of D10.4 by adding the Technical Specification documents as appendix at the end of the document.**

### **10' - Brief introduction to the effort report (Giacinto Donvito)**

Discussion

In some cases, the effort reported is not in line with what expected. The effort per partner has to be aligned with project commitments

- Eventual criticalities will be discussed in private with both the TL and the partner involved

**Action TLs and partners: discuss the criticality related to the effort claimed by each partner**

### **10' - Report from the TCOM**

Link agenda and minutes <https://indico.egi.eu/indico/event/4855/>

TechSpec:

- <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1xiyfgSpdQwme4P4zY4LYecGMM0JTj5UQC3j8STdmPUc>

Discussion

Activity going on

Feedback to the official EOSC Architecture Working Group (EOSC Architectural WG)

Components made as Building Block (BB) representing features and capabilities

- Working to define all the details needed for the BB and related interactions
- We have already defined the most important Building Blocks, and we will continue this process iteratively
- Process already opened successfully to OpenAire and will be open to other projects in the near future
- Process lead by TCOM members taking care of its own tech area

We need to finish the definition of the technical specification documents as soon as possible in order to have add them to the new version of D10.4 and resubmit within less than 3 months

Action TL and partners:

- the definition of the BB as started and should provide a first snapshot as soon as possible starting from the most important macro-functionalities
- Define the team of experts that will follow the definition of the documents in order to speed up the process.

## 20' - T10.1 (Giacinto Donvito)

Technical Workshop organization involving other projects

Next deadlines:

- "M10.1 Technical Roadmap first intermediate update" that was planned for "01 Aug 2019" will become "31 December 2019".
- "M10.2 Technical Roadmap v2 revision" that is planned for "01 Aug 2020 " will become "1 October 2020"
- D10.6 to be moved to April 2020: to collect requirements from both the 2 calls of the EOSC Early adopter programme
- D10.2 Deliverable preparation: technical roadmap evolution (due in June 2020)

One important activity of the task 10.1 is to provide a periodical update of the contribution (in both directions) of the EOSC-Hub project in relation with external standardization bodies/or similar initiatives. This is particularly important for the interaction with RDA, where the EC asked expressly to provide reports about the outcome of our involvement. At the beginning of the project we tried to collect all the information, about relationship with RDA of any group working in all the WPs/Task of the EOSC-Hub with few details, here you will find the original document:

- <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/10bUKpdh6u8zxDXGfl-rTwYcOnbn-x1n63ImPm9AyQsA/edit#gid=0>

We need to update this documents asking the WPs/Task leader to provide us the information on a regular basis. We need to distribute this effort among the people involved in Task 10.1 and provide an activity report update that can be provided to the EC when they ask for it.

Objective: Definition of technical specification of the composable services (EOSC architecture)

- Work done also organizing a workshop,

- Plan to finish the process as soon as possible to have a crosscheck and invite other project to be involved in such activity

Some Deliverables produced, other D planned for the next months

- D10.6 and D10.2 postponed
- Milestones moved as a consequence
  - As from the new deadline, the work will start soon
  - Official responsibility is of T10.1 but other involvements from other tasks are expected

RDA-EOSC-hub interaction

- Report by EOSC-hub partners working in the RDA activities is needed
  - Make this activity more concrete and have periodic reports from the people involved
- Activity in charge of T10.1 but extended to a wide number of project WP and Tasks

Action for TL 10.1 and partners: ask (TL) and provide (partners) periodic reports from the people involved in the RDA activities

Question from partners:

- Concrete contribution of KIT
  - Related to the AAI part, interaction with external bodies as a report collected
  - Review needs to be provided, involvement needed
  - Involvement in other technical areas is appreciated and needed
  - Marcus out until the end of the year, back in Jan 2020

Action: KIT: Marcus will review the technical documentation of the EOSC-hub services before he leaves

### **20' - T10.2 (Mark van de Sanden)**

Activity carried out and plans for the next period

- Connections and cooperation with other EOSC-Hub WPs
- Connections and cooperation with other projects
- Feedback and requirements from service providers

Criticalities

Discussion

Postponed due to absence of TL

### **20' - T10.3 (Diego Scardaci)**

Activity carried out and plans for the next period

- Interaction with other WPs
- Connection with communities or other entities
- Feedback and requirements from users

Criticalities

EOSC Symposium: status

- Abstract
- <https://www.eoscsecretariat.eu/eosc-symposium-programme>

#### Discussion

Objective: Providing tech support to the use case of the project

Collaboration with WP7, WP8

- people allocated to provide support in order to solve tech issues and provide requirements

Methodology described in D10.5

- user story and user requirement extracted and analysed

Other 5 use case supported coming from EAP call

- starting from Dec2019
- second EAP call ended, expected results in the coming months

EOSCsymposium

- Abstract submitted
  - follow-up presentation done by Marc (he is the representative inside the EOSC Architecture WG)
  - The presentation for the session will be also focused on the use case support

Federation services

- Activity carried out was presented

In the coming months activities will be asked to the related partners involved

**Action for TL10.3 and partners: activities will be discussed in the coming months in the T10.3 regular phone conferences.**

#### **20' - T10.4 (Pablo Orviz)**

Activity carried out and plans for the next period

- Interaction with communities or other projects/initiative to complete the EOSC-Hub Service catalogue
- Gap requirements gathering and analysis

Criticalities

#### Discussion

GAP analysis from T10.3 has been carried out

- Also from the requirements identified by the service

Need to move-on and define specific activities:

- Categorize gaps collected in the JIRA Ticket submitted.
- Divide effort among the partners to categorize requirements and gaps
- Link to the proposed activity
  - <https://wiki.eosc-hub.eu/display/EOSC/T10.4+Common+service+building+requirements+and+gap+analysis>
  - 5 questions to be answered for the categorization

Workplan

- all the open issued will be distributed among partners that have to follow them until they are officially addressed
- 3 weeks/monthly checkpoint in order to update the WP at the WP10 plenary phone conference.
- work distribution accordingly to the effort of each partner
- relevant people contacted
  - Action for the partner: check people involved and make an update to the list
    - Enol and Baptiste (from EGI.eu) can be added to the list

Deadline for such activity has to be identified

- WP10 Plenary will be scheduled each month so for this period the deadline can be assumed in 3 weeks until the next meeting

Action on updating the list of people needed for each of the tasks

- Action for TL10.4 the partners: check people involved and make an update to the list
  - Add Enol and Baptiste to the list

## 5' - Miscellanea (Giacinto Donvito)

WP10 architecture, dissemination material and events

Discussion

Dissemination is one of the objective and responsibility of the WP

- Useful to have material to be disseminated in a proper way
- Need to start a process to produce and share material
  - Contribution by partners needed

Action on WPL provide initial material that all can improve/comment: define a dissemination strategy and related material with the participation of all partners and the involvement of other WPs

## 5' - AoB

none

## Final remarks

Doodle for the next Plenary will be provided and circulated as soon as possible

## Actions

- Action TLs and partners: revision of D10.4
- Action TLs and partners: discuss the criticality related to the effort claimed by each partner
- Action for TL10.1 and the partners: provide the final version of the document defining the BB as soon as possible starting from the most important ones.
  - Define the team of experts to help the TCOM members in this process.

- Action for TL 10.1 and partners: ask for periodic reports from the people involved in the RDA activities
- Action: KIT: Marcus will review the technical documentation of the EOSC-hub AAI services before he leaves
- Action for TL10.3 and partners: activities will be asked in the coming months
- Action for TL10.4 and the partners: check people involved and make an update to the list, Add Enol and Baptiste to the list
- Action for TL10.1: define a dissemination strategy and related material with the participation of all partners and the involvement of other WPs.