

# Report to TCB on EGI user requirements

This document has been prepared by the User Community Support Team for the 7<sup>th</sup> EGI Technology Coordination Board meeting to report on the status of the requirement topics that relate to UMD and have been endorsed by the EGI User Community Board.

The document is a snapshot of the Wiki page that is publicly available at [https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/Track\\_UMD\\_Requirements](https://wiki.egi.eu/wiki/Track_UMD_Requirements). The snapshot has been taken at **12:20 on the 8<sup>th</sup> of August, 2011**. This document as well as the online Wiki page includes links to the requirement tickets. These provide technical evidences and details to the requests.

	Status at TCB				
Priority	Submitted	Committed	Endorsed	Stalled	Returned
5	<a href="#">6. Data management (topic / container)</a>	<a href="#">3. Fixing the known bugs before adding new features (topic / container)</a> <a href="#">5. Better feedback about jobs, automated resubmission of jobs that are stuck on sites (topic / container)</a>	<a href="#">1. Increased stability and scalability for gLite WMS (topic / container)</a> <a href="#">2. Better (more verbose and informative) error messages (topic / container)</a> <a href="#">4. Coherency of command line commands, parameters and APIs (topic / container)</a>		
0-4		<a href="#">Support for parallel jobs in JDL</a> <a href="#">Parallel jobs submission</a> <a href="#">Proper MPI support</a>	<a href="#">Request for WebDav interface to LFC</a>		

Recent changes in the table:

1. The “6. Data management” topic has been submitted to TCB on the 6<sup>th</sup> of July. (The topic was added to the Wiki table and an email was sent to TCB-dicuss.) The topical ticket has five requirement tickets linked to it. Technology providers are invited to commit resources to these requirements after endorsement by TCB.
2. The EGI.eu User Community Support Team moved some of the tickets within the table based on information publicly available in the EMI DNA1.3.1 document ([Technical Development Plan](#)).
  - o Because the status definitions used in the EMI deliverable are sometimes not compatible with status values of the TCB page, EMI is invited to check that the items are in the right column (e.g. if EMI works on an item then it should be in the “committed” column, etc.).
  - o The TCB should clarify the process on who and based on what information should move tickets across the cells of this table in the future.