

TSA1.3 Plans migration of some service from glite 3.1 to 3.2?

M. David

- The glite team are asking us to give feedback on supported and end of life of versions of components.
 - Started inquire to the NGL's focusing on components which were already released in for glite 3.2 and have been in production for some time.
 - Plan ahead of time. “Now” is not urgent to upgrade, but if you do “the better”.
 - **Important to know** if there are components for which there are sites with valid reasons to stay in the glite3.1 series.
 - In this first phase I focused in:
 - Site services: **site-bdii, dpm, monbox, vobox.**
 - Core services: **top-bdii, lfc, voms.**
- At least one dev team (voms) said they don't want to “fully” support glite 3.1, this is nonetheless in our hands to require fixes to go also to the 3.1 series, if need be.

- Quoting from glite team:
 - “The general approach to the migration has been that we only move to 3.2 when the new version has proven itself to be working at least as well as the 3.1.”
 - As a general comment. SL4 is getting slightly dated and sites will have to move one day. There is also the cost of supporting the legacy version. With limited resources the infrastructure will either get improved services on SL5 only or will see very little improvement on SL4 and SL5.
- In my point of view (and possibly others): The clients should be supported in as many platforms/OSs as needed/required.

- NGI_AEGIS, CERN, NGI_TR: Have send their plans.
- Ibergrid sites: a large number already sent me their plans.
- Up to now only one site as valid reasons not to migrate.