



**EUROPEAN COMMISSION**  
Information Society and Media Directorate-General  
Emerging Technologies and Infrastructures  
**GÉANT & e-Infrastructure**

Brussels, **19 DEC. 2011**  
DG INFSO/F3 /KAM/gv  
Info.f.3(2011)1488089

Ms. Gater Catherine  
STICHTING EUROPEAN GRID  
INITIATIVE  
SCIENCE PARK , 105  
AMSTERDAM  
1098XG (Netherlands)

**Subject: Result of the review of your project RI-260733 e-ScienceTalk**

Dear Ms. Gater,

Following the review of your project held in Brussels on 08/11/2011 and based on the attached Review report of the experts, the Commission has decided according to the provisions of Article II.23 of the grant agreement to:

- Allow the project to continue without modifications.

The Commission recommendations to be implemented in the next reporting period are the following:

- Further refinement of the project metrics needs to be examined, in particular regarding the cross fertilization amongst the eScienceTalk products (e.g. through Web statistics concerning the traffic within the eScienceTalk product sphere) and the reporting of metrics based on events (e.g. number of downloads, visits after GridCasts, after the distribution of eScienceBriefings).
- With respect to the e-ScienceTalk long-term sustainability the project is encouraged to continue exploring its possible future role in providing a dissemination platform and services for e.g. e-Infrastructure and other EU-funded projects.

The Commission approval of deliverables is set out in the enclosed Annex 1.

The result of the experts' review of the project is set out in the enclosed Review report (Annex 2).

The assessment of the use of the resources made by the experts in point 3 of the report does not imply the acceptance of the corresponding costs by the Commission. The eligibility of the costs claimed will be assessed by the Commission services on the basis of the financial statements submitted by each beneficiary. All costs declared by a beneficiary can be subject of a financial audit pursuant to Article II.22 of the grant agreement.

According to Article II.23.8 of the grant agreement, you may make observations on the result of the review of your project within one month of reception of this letter.

Please contact me as soon as possible to discuss the steps to be taken for implementing this decision.

Yours sincerely,



Kirsti ALA-MUTKA

Project Officer

Enclosure:            Annex 1: Commission approval of deliverables

## Annex 1

### Commission approval of deliverables

STATUS OF DELIVERABLES			
No.	Title	Status <i>(Approved/Rejected)</i>	Remarks
D3.1	Weekly issues of iSGTW	Approved	
D1.2.1	GridBriefings	Approved	
D4.1	Dissemination plan	Approved	
D1.1	Policy engagement strategy	Approved	
D3.2	Relaunch of iSGTW with a new name and new underlying content management system	Approved	
D4.2	Quality assurance guide	Approved	
D1.2.2	GridBriefings	Approved	
D1.2.3	GridBriefings	Approved	
D3.3	Strategic report on iSGTW marketing, social media and commercial exploitation	Approved	
D1.2.4	GridBriefings	Approved	
D1.3	Annual impact and sustainability report on e-ScienceTalk products	Approved	
D2.1	GridGuide updated integration with the RTM	Approved	
D3.4	Report on survey of iSGTW and annual metrics	Approved	
D4.3	Annual report on feedback and metrics	Approved	Deliverable was delayed by 2 weeks in agreement with EC to include metrics for the whole reporting period.